

BAUINGENIEURWESEN

Arbeitsgruppe Experimenteller Baulicher Brandschutz

Jun.-Prof. Dr.-Ing. Catherina Thiele

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Dirk Lorenz

Project Number: EBB 170019\_2

Purpose: Report on the resistance of Chemofast Bond Anchors STVK in fire tests

Client: Chemofast Anchoring GmbH  
Hanns-Martin-Schleyer-Str. 23  
47877 Willich

Contact: [www.uni-kl.de/ebb/](http://www.uni-kl.de/ebb/)  
Catherina Thiele  
Tel: +49 631 205 3833

Date: 29.08.2017



---

Catherina Thiele

Jun.-Prof. Dr.-Ing.



---

Dirk Lorenz

Prof. Dr.-Ing.

**Table of contents**

<b>1. General</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2. References</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3. Product Description</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4. Evaluation Scope</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>5. Fire Resistances</b>	<b>4</b>

## 1. General

The Technische Universität Kaiserslautern had been authorized by Chemofast Anchoring GmbH to evaluate the fire resistance of the bond anchors STVK. This report is based on the test reports of MPA Braunschweig [3]. The fire tests and their evaluation were executed according to DIN EN 1363-1:2012 [2] and [1].

The fire resistances (listed in Table 1) are based on the results of a fire exposure on a one side non-cracked concrete slab. The evaluation in this report is based on TR 020 [1].

## 2. References

- [1] Evaluation of Anchorages in Concrete Concerning Resistance to fire, EOTA TR 020, Edition May 2004
- [2] Feuerwiderstandsprüfungen – Teil 1: Allgemeine Anforderungen, DIN EN 1363-1; Edition Oktober 2012
- [3] Test Report (3290/0966)-NB dd. 06/03/2008 ; iBMB Braunschweig; hinterlegt an der TU Kaiserslautern
- [4] ETA-08/0237 vom 3.November 2015, Chemofast Injektionssystem STVK oder STVK Nordic für Beton

## 3. Product Description

The Product is described in [4].

## 4. Evaluation Scope

The fire resistance evaluation of the bond anchors STVK is based on the executed fire tests. The anchors were installed upside down to simulate the real situation of a ceiling and put under the uniform temperature curve fire test (UTC) according to [2]. In all tests, a fixture was used based on TR020 [1], therefore the following fire resistance evaluation applies only for anchors which are protected (in a comparable manner to the used fixture in the fire test) against the temperature increase during a fire case.

The fire tests were executed in a non-cracked concrete slab.

The evaluation was executed depending on TR020 [1].

Nut failures, rips in the anchor rod and pull-out failures occurred in the tests.

## 5. Fire Resistances

The following table shows the decisive fire resistances  $N_{Rk,fi}$  of a fire exposure on a one side non-cracked concrete with tensile loading (minimum strength class C20/25). The given fire resistances  $N_{Rk,fi}$  apply for a single anchor under tensile load with an edge distance greater than  $c_{cr} = 2 h_{ef}$  and a spacing of at least  $s = 2 c_{cr} = 4 h_{ef}$  between the neighbouring anchor. By keeping the mentioned edge distances and spacing, a concrete cone failure is not relevant. The given values apply for anchor rods with a strength class of at least 5.8 (EN 1993-1-8:2005+AC:2009). The same fire resistances can be assumed for threaded rods made of stainless steel and high corrosion resistant steel with a strength class of 70 (EN ISO 3506-1:2009).

If the edge distance  $c$  is chosen in a way, that steel failure / pull-out is determined in the fire design, the following load values can be also applied on anchors under shear load.

**Table 1: Fire resistance  $N_{Rk,fi}$  of STVK bond anchor in non-cracked concrete slab**

Fire resistance $N_{Rk,fi}$	Anchors Sizes	M8	M10	M12	M16	M20	M24	M27	M30
in [kN]	Minimum embedment depth $h_{ef,min}$ [mm]	$\geq 80$	$\geq 90$	$\geq 110$	$\geq 125$	$\geq 170$	$\geq 210$	$\geq 250$	$\geq 280$
Fire resistance duration $t_u$ [min]	30	1,6	2,6	3,4	6,2	9,8	14,0	18,3	22,3
	60	1,1	1,8	2,6	4,8	7,5	10,8	14,1	17,2
	90	0,6	0,9	1,8	3,4	5,3	7,6	9,9	12,1
	120	0,3	0,5	1,4	2,7	4,2	6,0	7,9	9,6